

ENERGY AND CLIMATE CHANGE
ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY
INFRASTRUCTURE AND UTILITIES
LAND AND PROPERTY
MINING AND MINERAL PROCESSING
MINERAL ESTATES
WASTE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT



COLYTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING GROUP

COLYON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

HABITAT REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT

MARCH 2020



Wardell Armstrong

Sir Henry Doulton House, Forge Lane, Etruria, Stoke-on-Trent, ST1 5BD, United Kingdom Telephone: +44 (0)1782 276 700 www.wardell-armstrong.com



DATE ISSUED: MARCH 2020

JOB NUMBER: ST17590

REPORT NUMBER: REP-002

VERSION: V0.3

STATUS: FINAL

COLYTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANNING GROUP

COLYTON NIEGHBOURHOOD PLAN

HABITAT REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT

MARCH 2020

PREPARED AND REVEIWED BY:

Suzanne Wykes Technical Director

APPROVED BY:

Stephen Stoney Technical Director

This report has been prepared by Wardell Armstrong LLP with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of the Contract with the Client. The report is confidential to the Client and Wardell Armstrong LLP accepts no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report may be made known.

No part of this document may be reproduced without the prior written approval of Wardell Armstrong LLP.



WASTE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

ENERGY AND CLIMATE CHANGE



CONTENTS

1		INTRODUCTION	1
2		LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND	1
3		DESCRIPTION OF THE COLYTON NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN	2
4		SCREENING	3
5		APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT	3
6		GUIDANCE AND GOOD PRACTICE	5
7		EUROPEAN SITES	6
8		SHADOW APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT OF CNDP JULY 2019 VERSION	9
	8.1	Adverse Effects of Integrity Alone	9
	8.2	2 Adverse Effects on Integrity in Combination1	8
	8.3	3 Changes Implemented1	8
9		APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT UPDATE OF THE CNDP JANUARY 2020 VERSION1	9
	9.1	Is the CNDP (January 2020) Likely to Have Adverse Effects on the Integrity of	a
	Eu	ropean Site, alone?1	9
	9.2	Assessment 3: Is the CNDP (January 2020) Likely to Have Adveres Effects on th	e
	Int	egrity of a European Site, in combination?2	0
1(0	CONCLUSION2	6
1.	1	DEEEDENICES	7



1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1.1 Wardell Armstrong has been commissioned by Urban Vision North Staffordshire on behalf of Colyton Neighbourhood Planning Group to provide information to East Devon District Council (the 'Competent Authority'), to inform a Habitat Regulations Assessment of the pre-submission Colyton Neighbourhood Development Plan (CNDP) covering the area of Colyton Parish, in the district of East Devon.
- 1.1.2 HRA is a process, not a report, and this report therefore provides information to the competent authority (East Devon District Council (EDDC)), to enable them to perform an Appropriate Assessment of the pre-submission CNDP.

2 LEGISLATIVE BACKGROUND

- 2.1.1 The requirement for an assessment of impacts on Natura 2000 sites is set out within Article 6 of the EC Habitats Directive 1992, and interpreted into British law by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). The ultimate aim of the Directive is to "maintain or restore, at favourable conservation status, natural habitats and species of wild fauna and flora of Community interest" (Habitats Directive, Article 2(2)). This aim relates to habitats and species, not the European sites themselves, although the sites have a significant role in delivering favourable conservation status.
- 2.1.2 The Habitats Directive applies the precautionary principle to European sites. Plans and projects can only be permitted having ascertained that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the site(s) in question. Plans and projects with predicted adverse impacts on European sites may still be permitted if there are no alternatives to them and there are Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest (IROPI) as to why they should go ahead. In such cases, compensation would be necessary to ensure the overall integrity of the site network is maintained.
- 2.1.3 In order to ascertain whether or not site integrity will be affected, an assessment should be undertaken of the plan or project in question. While the competent authority in this case, EDDC makes the formal decision as to whether adverse effects will result, they are entitled to request necessary information to assist them. That is the purpose of this report.



2.1.4 Box 1. The legislative basis for Appropriate Assessment:

Habitats Directive 1992

Article 6 (3) states that:

"Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives."

The Conservation of Habitats and Species and Planning (Various Amendments) (England and Wales) Regulations 2017 (as amended)

The Regulations state that:

"A competent authority, before deciding to ... give any consent for a plan or project which is likely to have a significant effect on a European site ... shall make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site in view of that sites conservation objectives... The authority shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the European site".

2.1.5 Over the years, the phrase 'Habitats Regulations Assessment' (HRA) has come into use to describe the overall process set out in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations from screening through to Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest (IROPI). This has arisen in order to distinguish the process from the individual stage described in the law as an 'appropriate assessment'. Throughout this report, we use the term Habitat Regulations Assessment for the overall process and restrict the use of Appropriate Assessment to the specific stage of that name.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE COLYTON NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

3.1.1 The CNDP describes Colyton as;

'a relatively large parish of 2,573 hectares, situated in the south-east of Devon, some two miles to the north of the coastal settlements of Seaton and Axmouth. The two main settlements are Colyton, a small town with a population of circa 2,300, and Colyford (population circa 800) both towards the south-east of the Parish'.

3.1.2 The CNDP sets out;

'how the community would like to see the area developed over the next 15 to 20 years and, through its policies, help shape and direct sustainable development that will benefit those that live, work or visit in our area'.



- 3.1.3 The objectives and policies of the CNDP are indicative of the group's desire to protect the existing character of the parish, whilst facilitating appropriate development and growth.
- 3.1.4 When adopted the CNDP will form part of the East Devon Local Plan. The policies will then form part of the development plan and will be used in the determination of planning applications.
- 3.1.5 The NPSG provided Wardell Armstrong with a pre-submission version of their NDP dated July 2019 for the purpose of undertaking HRA following the screening conclusion reached by EDDC. The NDP details a number of policies accompanied by explanatory and supportive text.

4 SCREENING

4.1.1 In April 2019, EDDC undertook a screening of the CNDP (version dated March 2019), which was effectively the test for likely significant effects as a result of the plan, upon European Sites. EDDC concluded that:

'The Colyton Neighbourhood Plan requires a Habitat Regulations Assessment.'

The Colyton Neighbourhood Plan has the potential to result in a significant adverse impact on a European site (as defined in the Habitat Regulations 2017) alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The Plan proposes a level of development over and above that in the adopted East Devon Local Plan and outside of the BUAB identified in the East Devon Villages Plan'.

4.1.2 Natural England, in their consultation response to the screening request noted:

'Based on the policies as they are written in the consultation version, it is not possible for us to conclude that the plan will not have a likely significant effect on a European site'.

'We advise that if the locational aspects of a number of policies could be tightened up, this may enable different conclusions on HRA to be reached'.

5 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

- 5.1.1 Following the screening responses received from EDDC and NE, on the March 2019 version of the CNDP, WA were supplied with a pre-submission version of the CNDP (dated July 2019), to undertake a HRA.
- 5.1.2 Specifically, this report analyses the pre-submission CDNP (dated July 2019) in light of the screening responses from EDDC and NE and proposes changes to policy wording



- to avoid any harmful effects on European sites that were assessed as being likely to occur. The resultant 'revised' pre-submission version of the CNDP (dated January 2020) will then be submitted to EDDC for their consideration.
- 5.1.3 Although best practice guidance² supports the view that changes to policy wording which serve to define the nature, scale, location, timing, frequency or duration of the plan's proposals are not considered mitigation measures, but features or characteristics of the plan, a precautionary approach has been taken to the compilation of this HRA in this regard, following the negative screening opinion of the March 2019 pre-submission CNDP, being mindful of recent case law (People Over Wind³).
- 5.1.4 Therefore this report serves to provide information to EDDC, in their role as competent authority, to enable them to undertake an AA of the pre-submission CNDP (original version dated July 2019, updated version dated January 2020).

² The Habitat Regulations Assessment Handbook, DTA Publications. https://www.dtapublications.co.uk/

³ Case in the European courts: Case C-323/17 People Over Wind 12 April 2018



6 GUIDANCE AND GOOD PRACTICE

- 6.1.1 The process of undertaking a HRA of the Plan has been informed by a number of guidance and practice documents, the key ones being:
 - a) DTA Publications 'The Habitats Regulations Assessment Handbook'. DTA Publications;
 - b) European Commission (2001) 'Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC'; and
 - c) Natural England (1997) 'Habitat Regulations Guidance Note HRGN 1 The Appropriate Assessment (Regulation 48)'. Natural England.
- 6.1.2 The approach taken for this HRA appropriately follows the method set out in these guidance documents.



7 EUROPEAN SITES

- 7.1.1 In order to undertake this HRA, it is essential to identify any European Site which could feasibly be affected by the implementation of the plan.
- 7.1.2 Table 1 details European Sites which have been identified as feasibly being affected by the implementation of the Colyton NDP, based upon their distance in relation to Colyton Parish and possible impact pathways.



Table1: Details	of European Sites			
Site status	Name, Natura 2000 Site No. and Description	Distance	Qualifying features	Issues that are currently impacting or
		from Colyton		threatening the condition of the
		Parish		qualifying features and which have
		boundary		potential pathways of impact through
		(closest)		implementation of the Colyton NDP
Special Area	Beer Quarry Caves UK0012585	1.1km	Qualifying species	1. Planning permission (general)
of	This complex of abandoned mines in south-west		Annex II:	Loss of foraging habitat;
Conservation	England is divided in two by a road, with a working		Bechstein's bat Myotis bechsteinii	Loss of minor roosts sites; and
	quarry to the north and a disused quarry and cave		Greater horseshoe bat Rhinolophus	Disruption of flightlines.
	system to the south. This site supports important		ferrumequinum	
	populations of hibernating bats. Its use as a		Lesser horseshoe bat Rhinolophus	
	hibernation site by the Bechstein's bat is the primary		hipposideros	
	reason for its designation as a SAC. The area also			
	supports a significant presence of both the Lesser			
	horseshoe bat and the Greater horseshoe bat which			
	are both qualifying features but are not primary			
	reasons for the site's selection.			
Special Area	River Axe UK0030248	Within	Qualifying habitats	1 Water pollution
of	The lower reaches of the River Axe feature a mixed		Annex I:	• Household effluent (i.e. from
Conservation	catchment geology of sandstones and limestones		Water courses of plain to montane	sewage treatment works and septic
	giving rise to calcareous waters and associated		levels with the Ranunculion	tanks
	water-crowfoots and water-starworts. The river also		fluitantis and Callitricho-Batrachion	
	supports the significant presence of sea lamprey,		vegetation. (Rivers with floating	
	brook lamprey and bullhead		vegetation often dominated by	
			water-crowfoot)	



Table1: Details	of European Sites			
Site status	Name, Natura 2000 Site No. and Description	Distance	Qualifying features	Issues that are currently impacting or
		from Colyton		threatening the condition of the
		Parish		qualifying features and which have
		boundary		potential pathways of impact through
		(closest)		implementation of the Colyton NDP
			Qualifying species	
			Annex II:	
			Bullhead Cottus gobio	
			Brook lamprey Lampetra planeri	
			Sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus	
Special Area	Sidmouth to West Bay UK0019864	1.7km	Qualifying habitats	None identified
of	Sidmouth to West Bay is an example of a highly		Annex I:	Issues and threats are those associated
Conservation	unstable soft cliff coastline subject to mudslides and		Annual vegetation of drift lines	with direct impacts or impacts arising in
	landslips. The principal rock types are soft		Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes,	close proximity to the Site. Colton Parish
	mudstones, clays and silty limestones, with a small		screes and ravines. (Mixed	is too far distant from the SAC to
	chalk outlier in the west. Vegetation is very varied		woodland on base-rich soils	influence the identified issues and
	and includes pioneer communities on recent slips,		associated with rocky slopes)	threats.
	calcareous grassland and scrub on detached chalk		Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic	
	blocks, and extensive self-sown woodland		and Baltic coasts	
	dominated by ash Fraxinus excelsior or sycamore			
	Acer pseudoplatanus.			



8 SHADOW APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT OF CNDP JULY 2019 VERSION

8.1 Adverse Effects of Integrity Alone

8.1.1 Table 2 details the results of the HRA for the CNDP (July 2019) version. This was informed by comments received from EDDC with regards to their formal screening decision (dated April 2019).

Table 2 HRA of Colyton Ne	eighbourhood Development Plan (July 2019 pre-submission version)		
Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5	Administrative text	No adverse effects on integrity (AEoI)	n/a	
Vision, chapter 6	A general aspiration	No AEoI	n/a	
Aims, chapter 6	General statements of overall aims	No AEo	n/a	
Objectives, chapter 6	General statements of broad objectives. In terms of the objective	No AEoI	n/a	
	regarding the Ceramtec site, the implications for redevelopment			
	of the Site are more clearly assessed under Policy Coly7.			
Policy No. Coly1	General plan-wide policy protecting the natural environment.	No AEoI	S5	Explanatory text
Protecting the Natural	Does not promote development.		S46	would benefit from
Environment &			S47	reference to
			EN4	European Sites.
			EN5	
Policy No Coly2	Protective policy for trees, hedges and woodland. Does not	No AEol	S5	
Trees, Woodland and	promote development.		D3	
Hedgerows				



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly3	Supportive policy for measures to improve and extend existing	No AEol	S3	
Public Rights of Way	public rights of way. Does not identify locations, type or quantum		S5B	
	of 'development' and will not lead to development in itself.		TC4	
	Includes reference to protection of wildlife corridors and			
	enhancement of 'biodiversity'.			
Policy No. Coly4	Protective policy, listing general criteria for testing the	No AEol	S8	
Green Wedge	acceptability of proposals in the designated Green Wedge. Will		S28	
	not lead to development in itself.		D7	
			E4	
Policy No. Coly5	Protective policy listing general criteria for testing the	No AEol	EN1	
Local Green Space	acceptability of proposals on designated Local Green Spaces.			
	Does not identify type or quantum of development and will not			
	lead to development in itself. Qualitative policy.			
Policy No. Coly6	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability /	No AEol	S6	
Sustainable	sustainability of proposals within the Built-up Area Boundary		S7	
Development	(BUAB). Does not identify type or quantum of development and			
	will not lead to development in itself. Qualitative policy.			



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly7	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of	No AEol	S6	
Housing Development	housing proposals within the Built-up Area Boundary (BUAB).		S27	
within the BUAB	Policy does not identify location(s) or quantum of development			
	over or above that which has been adopted through the EDLP or			
	EDVP. The BUAB is unchanged from that within the EDVP, which			
	was screened out from having Likely Significant Effects upon			
	European Sites. In addition, it is in accordance with the EDLP, the			
	HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to			
	ensure the quantum, type and locations of development in the			
	EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting			
	European Sites. Will not give rise to any effects upon European			
	Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP			
Policy No. Coly8	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of	No AEoI	S7	
Exception Site Housing	housing proposals outside of the Built-up Area Boundary (BUAB).		S35	
Development	Does not identify location(s) or quantum of development and is in			
	accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested			
	and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and			
	locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed			
	without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to			
	any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the			
	HRA of the EDLP.			



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP* / EDVP	Recommendations for the Plan
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly9	Policy does not lead to development. Qualitative policy.	No AEol	TC9	
Parking Provision for				
New Housing				
Development				
Policy No. Coly10	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of	AEol	S31	Reword policy to
Employment Uses	employment development proposals in 'predominantly		E5	make it clear this
	residential areas'. Does not identify location(s) or quantum of		E10/	relates to
	development, but it is unclear if this policy would lead to		03	residential areas.
	development that has not already been assessed through the			Include reference to
	EDLP.			non 'main village
				centre uses' to
				accord with East
				Devon Villages Plan
				Policy 03.



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP* / EDVP Policies	Recommendations for the Plan
Policy No. Coly11	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of	No AEol	S33	
Tourism Development	proposals for tourism. Does not identify locations, type or		E16	
	quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance with the		E20	
	EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed			
	mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of			
	development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without			
	adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any effects			
	upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the			
	EDLP			
Policy No. Coly12	Policy supportive of measures to improve pedestrian links from	No AEol	S5B	
Tramway Links	tramway stations to settlement areas of Colyton and Colyford.		TC2	
	Does not identify locations, type or quantum of 'development'.		TC3	
	This policy is in accordance with the EDLP (partic. TC4) whose		TC4	
	explanatory text state 'where opportunities arise the District			
	Council will encourage measures to provide, improve and extend			
	facilities for both cyclists and pedestrians'. Will not give rise to any			
	effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of			
	the EDLP			
Policy No. Coly13	Policy does not lead to development. Concerned with ensuring	No AEol	TC1	
Connectivity	new development provides super-fast communication			
	infrastructure.			



Table 2 HRA of Colyton No	eighbourhood Development Plan (July 2019 pre-submission version)		
Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly14	Supportive policy for development proposals specifically for the	No AEol	S5B	
Public Transport	maintenance and enhancement of existing transport provision.		TC2	
	Does not identify locations, type or quantum of 'development'			
	and includes reference to protection of environmental features			
	and assets. This policy is in accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for			
	which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the			
	quantum, type and locations of development in the EDLP can			
	acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites.			
	Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already			
	considered by the HRA of the EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly15	Supportive policy for development proposals which are intended	No AEol	S5B	
Walking and Cycling	to improve and extend walking and cycling routes. Does not		TC2	
Routes	identify location, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is		TC4	
	in accordance with the EDLP (partic. TC4) whose explanatory text			
	state 'where opportunities arise the District Council will			
	encourage measures to provide, improve and extend facilities for			
	both cyclists and pedestrians'. Will not give rise to any effects			
	upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the			
	EDLP.			



Table 2 HRA of Colyton	n Neighbourhood Development Plan (July 2019 pre-submission version	n)		
Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP* / EDVP Policies	Recommendations for the Plan
Policy No. Coly16	Supportive policy for development proposals which are intended	No AEol	TC3	
School Traffic	to ease traffic congestion around local schools. Does not identify			
	locations, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in			
	accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested			
	and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and			
	locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed			
	without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to			
	any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the			
	HRA of the EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly17	Supportive policy for provision of public car parking within walking	AEol	TC2	Re-word policy to
Public Car Parking	distance of Colyton town centre. Does not identify locations, type	New public car parking outside of the	TC9	make clear that
	or quantum of 'development', but includes reference to 'walking	existing BUAB has the potential for LSE		support for new car
	distance of Colyton town centre'.	upon the designated features of Beer		parking delivered in
	The part of the policy supporting delivery of public car parking as	Quarry Caves SAC through loss of		entirety, ie not
	part of new development does not lead to development.	foraging habitat, severance of		associated with
	The part of the policy supporting delivery of public car parking in	connectivity and disturbance to bat		new developments,
	its entirety (ie not part of new development) could lead to	foraging and commuting habitat,		will be restricted to
	development, which has not been assessed as part of the HRA for	depending upon location and distance		within Colyton
	the EDLP or the EDVP.	from the SAC.		BUAB, so that LSE
				would not arise.



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly 18	A part supportive policy and part protective policy.	AEol	RC2	Re-word policy to
Community Horticulture		New community allotments, orchards	RC3	Include criteria to
	Policy supportive of provision of community allotments, orchards	and community horticulture initiatives	RC6	ensure proposals
	and community horticulture initiatives. Does not identify	in suitable locations on redundant land	D7	that have an
	locations, type or quantum of 'development', but includes	have the potential for LSE upon the		adverse impact
	reference to 'redundant land' so it is unclear if this policy would	designated features of Beer Quarry		upon nature
	lead to development that has not already been assessed through	Caves SAC through loss of foraging		conservation
	the EDLP.	habitat, severance of connectivity and		interests will not be
		disturbance to bat foraging and		supported.
	Remainder of policy protecting allotments and encouraging new	commuting habitat, depending upon		
	development to provide for demand. Does not identify locations,	location and distance from the SAC.		
	type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance			
	with the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed			
	mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of			
	development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without			
	adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any effects			
	upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the			
	EDLP.			



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant	Recommendations
			EDLP* /	for the Plan
			EDVP	
			Policies	
Policy No. Coly19	Part protective policy and part supportive policy.	AEol	RC1	Tighten up
Sports and Recreational	Policy safeguarding existing sports and recreational facilities. This	New sports and recreation facilities not	RC2	locational aspects
Areas	part of the policy is in accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for	in or on the edge of existing	RC4	of the latter part of
	which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the	settlements has the potential for LSE	RC6	this policy so that it
	quantum, type and locations of development in the EDLP can	upon the designated features of Beer	RC7	accords with EDLP
	acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites.	Quarry Caves SAC through loss of		RC2. Recommend
	Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already	foraging habitat, severance of		text be inserted into
	considered by the HRA of the EDLP.	connectivity and disturbance to bat		policy which
		foraging and commuting habitat,		includes reference
	Also includes a supportive policy to enhance existing, and provide	depending upon location and distance		to protection of
	additional, sports and recreational facilities, not restricted to in or	from the SAC.		environmental
	on the edge of settlements. Does not identify quantum, type or			features and assets.
	locations of 'development so it is unclear if this policy would lead			
	to development that has not already been assessed through the			
	EDLP.			

EDVP = East Devon Villages Plan (adopted July 2018)



8.2 Adverse Effects on Integrity in Combination

- 8.2.1 The Habitats Directive and the Habitats Regulations require competent authorities to include the assessment of effects on European sites in combination with other plans or projects. The following plans were identified as potentially having an in-combination effect with the CNDP and were therefore considered by the assessment:
 - East Devon Local Plan 2013-2031 (adopted 2016).
 - East Devon Villages Plan.
 - Devon County Council Waste Local Plan (2014).
 - Devon Minerals Plan 2011-2033 (adopted 2017).
- 8.2.2 None of the above plans or any known projects are considered to act in combination with the CNDP to give rise to adverse effects on the integrity of any European Site.

 This was confirmed by EDDC in their Screening Report (dated April 2019).

8.3 Changes Implemented

8.3.1 Following the Appropriate Assessment of the CNDP (July 2019 version) as detailed within Table 2 above, suggested changes to the CNDP to avoid adverse effects on the integrity of European Sites (as identified in Table 2) were implemented. These are therefore now included in the CNDP (January 2020), the HRA for which is detailed below.

8.3.2 In summary, these are:

- Coly 10 Locational aspects have been added to policy so that it now restricts employment development to residential areas in accordance with the East Devon Villages Plan.
- Coly 17 Locational aspects have been added to policy so that it now restricts public car parking proposals to the Colyton BUAB.
- Coly 18 Reference to nature conservation interest has been added to the criteria for testing the acceptability/support for proposals.
- Coly 19 Locational aspects have been added to policy so that it now restricts
 additional sports and recreation facilities to in or on the edge of settlements in
 accordance with the East Devon Local Plan. Reference to nature conservation
 interest has been added to the criteria for testing the acceptability/support for
 proposals



9 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT UPDATE OF THE CNDP JANUARY 2020 VERSION

- 9.1 Is the CNDP (January 2020) Likely to Have Adverse Effects on the Integrity of a European Site, alone?
- 9.1.1 The Colyton NDP does not propose development which has not already been proposed in the East Devon Local Plan (EDLP). It has, therefore, been considered as part of the Habitat Regulations Assessment of the EDLP, which was undertaken to inform the adopted version of the EDLP.
- 9.1.2 The Built Up Area Boundary (BUAB) for Colyton, as shown in the Colyton Neighbourhood Plan is unchanged from that within the East Devon Villages Plan (EDVP), which was screened out from having Likely Significant Effects upon European Sites. Therefore, the premise of modest development within the BUAB, has already been tested during inspection of the EDVP, where the inspector accepted the screening opinion that the EDVP would not give rise to any Likely Significant Effects upon European Sites.
- 9.1.3 Outside of the BUAB there is a presumption against new residential development unless it is very small-scale and is permitted to meet a specific need (e.g. affordable housing or bringing an historic building back into use or agricultural workers dwelling). These are in accordance with the EDLP.
- 9.1.4 The Plan also contains a number of positively worded policies allowing other non-residential development in the countryside, for instance permitting new tourist related business and horticulture (allotments). For development proposals in the 'Countryside' which come forward through the planning system for Colyton Parish, a detailed assessment of potential impacts will be carried out wherever necessary, however, these policies are considered to accord with the policy approach for development in the countryside, as set out within the EDLP, which was assessed and found to be acceptable through the HRA undertaken.
- 9.1.5 Table 3 details the update AA of the pre-submission version of the Colyton NDP (January 2020).
- 9.1.6 For clarity, changes made to the plan since the July 2019 version include the following:
 - 1) Overview text to the Natural Environment section includes reference to the proximity of European Sites to the parish.
 - 2) Locational aspects of Coly 10, Coly 17, Coly 19 have been added.



- 3) Reference to nature conservation interest has been added to the criteria for testing the acceptability/support for proposals in Coly 18 & Coly 19.
- 9.2 Assessment 3: Is the CNDP (January 2020) Likely to Have Adveres Effects on the Integrity of a European Site, in combination?
- 9.2.1 The HRA for the EDLP and the EDVP included an in-combination assessment covering the scale of growth planned for Colyton. The CNDP (January 2020) no longer contains any policies which would give rise to impact pathways to European Sites, and therefore, no in-combination assessment is required; there are no residual effects on European Sites from the CNDP (January 2020) alone, with which to undertake an in-combination assessment, making it unnecessary.





Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP* Policies
Vision, chapter 6	A general aspiration	No AEol	n/a
Aims, chapter 6	General statements of overall aims	No AEol	n/a
Objectives, chapter 6	General statements of broad objectives. In terms of the objective regarding the Ceramtec site, the	No AEol	n/a
	implications for redevelopment of the Site are more clearly assessed under Policy Coly7.		
Policy No. Coly1	General plan-wide policy protecting the natural environment. Does not promote development.	No AEol	S5
Protecting the Natural			S46
Environment			S47
			EN4
			EN5
Policy No Coly2	Protective policy for trees, hedges and woodland. Does not promote development.	No AEol	S5
Trees, Woodland and			D3
Hedgerows			
Policy No. Coly3	Supportive policy for measures to improve and extend existing public rights of way. Does not identify	No AEol	S3
Public Rights of Way	locations, type or quantum of 'development' and will not lead to development in itself. Includes		S5B
	reference to protection of wildlife corridors and enhancement of 'biodiversity'.		TC4
Policy No. Coly4	Protective policy, listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of proposals in the designated Green	No AEol	S8
Green Wedge	Wedge. Will not lead to development in itself.		S28
			D7
			E4
Policy No. Coly5	Protective policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of proposals on designated Local	No AEol	EN1
Local Green Space	Green Spaces. Does not identify type or quantum of development and will not lead to development in		
	itself. Qualitative policy.		



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP*
			Policies
Policy No. Coly6	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability / sustainability of proposals within the Built-up	No AEoI	S6
Sustainable	Area Boundary (BUAB). Does not identify type or quantum of development and will not lead to		S7
Development	development in itself. Qualitative policy.		
Policy No. Coly7	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of housing proposals within the Built-up Area	No AEoI	S6
Housing Development	Boundary (BUAB). Policy does not identify location(s) or quantum of development over or above that		S27
within the BUAB	which has been adopted through the EDLP or EDVP. The BUAB is unchanged from that within the EDVP,		
	which was screened out from having Likely Significant Effects upon European Sites. In addition, it is in		
	accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the		
	quantum, type and locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely		
	affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by		
	the HRA of the EDLP		
Policy No. Coly8	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of housing proposals outside of the Built-up Area	No AEoI	S7
Exception Site Housing	Boundary (BUAB). Does not identify location(s) or quantum of development and is in accordance with		S35
Development	the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type		
	and locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European		
	Sites. Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the		
	EDLP.		
Policy No. Coly9	Policy does not lead to development. Qualitative policy.	No AEoI	TC9
Parking Provision for			
New Housing			
Development			
Policy No. Coly10	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of employment proposals in residential areas.	No AEoI	S31
Employment Uses	Does not identify location(s) or quantum of development and is in accordance with the EDLP, the HRA		E5
	for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of		E10



Table 3: HRA of Colyton	Table 3: HRA of Colyton Neighbourhood Development Plan (pre-submission version Jan 2020)			
Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP*	
			Policies	
	development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not			
	give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly11	Policy listing general criteria for testing the acceptability of proposals for tourism. Does not identify	No AEol	S33	
Tourism Development	locations, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance with the EDLP, (partic.S33), the		E16	
	HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of		E20	
	development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not			
	give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP			
Policy No. Coly12	Policy supportive of measures to improve pedestrian links from tramway stations to settlement areas of	No AEol	S5B	
Tramway Links	Colyton and Colyford. Does not identify locations, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in		TC2	
	accordance with the EDLP (partic. TC4) whose explanatory text state 'where opportunities arise the		TC3	
	District Council will encourage measures to provide, improve and extend facilities for both cyclists and		TC4	
	pedestrians'. Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of			
	the EDLP			
Policy No. Coly13	Policy does not lead to development. Concerned with ensuring new development provides super-fast	No AEol	TC1	
Connectivity	communication infrastructure.			
Policy No. Coly14	Supportive policy for development proposals specifically for the maintenance and enhancement of	No AEol	S5B	
Public Transport	existing transport provision. Does not identify locations, type or quantum of 'development' and includes		TC2	
	reference to protection of environmental features and assets. This policy is in accordance with the EDLP,			
	the HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations			
	of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not			
	give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly15	Supportive policy for development proposals which are intended to improve and extend walking and	No AEoI	S5B	
Walking and Cycling	cycling routes. Does not identify location, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance		TC2	
Routes	with the EDLP (partic. TC4) whose explanatory text state 'where opportunities arise the District Council		TC4	



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP*	
			Policies	
	will encourage measures to provide, improve and extend facilities for both cyclists and pedestrians'. Will			
	not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP. Policy			
	refers to ensuring that proposals should not be detrimental to nature conservation interests.			
Policy No. Coly16	Supportive policy for development proposals which are intended to ease traffic congestion around local	No AEoI	TC3	
School Traffic	schools. Does not identify locations, type or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance with			
	the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type			
	and locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European			
	Sites. Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the			
	EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly17	Supportive policy for provision of public car parking within the Colyton BUAB. Does not identify specific	No AEol	TC2	
Public Car Parking	locations or quantum of 'development'. This policy is in accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has		TC6	
	already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of development in		TC9	
	the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any			
	effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP.			
Policy No. Coly 18	Protective policy safeguarding existing allotment spaces. Also includes a supportive policy to use	No AEol	RC2	
Community Horticulture	redundant land for community horticulture in suitable locations. Does not identify type, quantum or		RC3	
	specific locations of 'development'. This policy is in accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has		RC6	
	already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the quantum, type and locations of development in		D7	
	the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any			
	effects upon European Sites not already considered by the HRA of the EDLP. Policy refers to ensuring that			
	facilities should not be detrimental to nature conservation interest.			



Element of Plan	Assessment and reasoning	HRA Conclusion	Relevant EDLP* Policies
Policy No. Coly19	Protective policy safeguarding existing sports and recreational facilities. Also includes a supportive policy	No AEol	RC1
Sports and Recreational	to enhance existing, and provide additional, sports and recreational facilities in or on the edge of		RC2
Areas	settlements. Does not identify type, quantum or specific locations of 'development'. This policy is in		RC4
	accordance with the EDLP, the HRA for which has already tested and proposed mitigation to ensure the		RC6
	quantum, type and locations of development in the EDLP can acceptably proceed without adversely		RC7
	affecting European Sites. Will not give rise to any effects upon European Sites not already considered by		
	the HRA of the EDLP. Policy refers to ensuring that facilities should not be detrimental to nature		
	conservation interest.		



10 CONCLUSION

- 10.1.1 This report sets out the methods used, and the findings arising from, the Habitats Regulations Assessment undertaken of two versions of the Colyton Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018-2031 (dated July 2019 and January 2020).
- 10.1.2 The HRA considered two European Sites assessed as being potentially impacted by the implementation of the Project, namely Beer Quarry Caves SAC and River Axe SAC.
- 10.1.3 The initial screening report produced by EDDC in April 2019 concluded that the March 2019 version of the CNDP would be likely to give rise to significant effects upon European Sites, alone.
- 10.1.4 Subsequently, a HRA has been undertaken on the July 2019 CNDP, and with the inclusion of the recommended changes to the CNDP detailed in Table 2 of this report, it can be concluded that the CNDP (January 2020) will not adversely affect the integrity of the Beer Quarry Caves SAC or River Axe SAC, either alone or in combination with other plans.
- 10.1.5 This report, alongside the amended Colyton Neighbourhood Plan (pre-submission version Jan 2020) can now be submitted to the competent authority, East Devon District Council for their consideration.



11 REFERENCES

DTA Publications (2020) *The Habitats Regulations Assessment Handbook*. DTA Publications

English Nature (2005) *Citation for Special Area of Conservation (SAC): Beer Quarry and Caves.* English Nature.

English Nature (2005) Citation for Special Area of Conservation (SAC): River Axe. English Nature

English Nature (2005) *Citation for Special Area of Conservation (SAC): Sidmouth to West Bay.* English Nature.

European Commission (2001) Assessment of plans and projects significantly affecting Natura 2000 sites-Methodological guidance on the provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Joint Nature Conservation Committee (2017) Download GIS data [online]. Accessed February 2020. Available at:

http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/ProtectedSites/SACselection/gis_data/terms_conditions.asp

Natural England (2019) European Site Conservation Objectives: Supplementary advice on conserving and restoring site features: Beer Quarry and Caves SAC. Natural England.

Natural England (2018) *European Site Conservation Objectives for Beer Quarry and Caves SAC.* Natural England.

Natural England (2018) European Site Conservation Objectives for River Axe SAC. Natural England.

Natural England (2015) *Site Improvement Plan: Beer Quarry and Caves (SIP 012)*. Natural England.

Natural England (2014) Site Improvement Plan: River Axe (SIP 0186). Natural England.

Natural England (2014) Site Improvement Plan: Sidmouth to West Bay (SIP 216). Natural England.

Natural England (1997) Habitat Regulations Guidance Note HRGN 1 – The Appropriate Assessment (Regulation 48). Natural England.

wardell-armstrong.com

STOKE-ON-TRENT

Sir Henry Doulton House Forge Lane Etruria Stoke-on-Trent ST1 5BD Tel: +44 (0)1782 276 700

BIRMINGHAM

Two Devon Way Longbridge Technology Park Longbridge Birmingham B31 2TS Tel: +44 (0)121 580 0909

BOLTON

41-50 Futura Park Aspinall Way Middlebrook Bolton BL6 6SU Tel: +44 (0)1204 227 227

CARDIFF

Tudor House 16 Cathedral Road Cardiff CF119LJ Tel: +44 (0)292 072 9191

CARLISLE

Marconi Road Burgh Road Industrial Estate Carlisle Cumbria CA2 7NA Tel: +44 (0)1228 550 575

EDINBURGH

Great Michael House 14 Links Place Edinburgh EH6 7EZ Tel: +44 (0)131 555 3311

GLASGOW

2 West Regent Street Glasgow **G2 1RW** Tel: +44 (0)141 433 7210

LEEDS

36 Park Row Leeds LS1 5JL

Tel: +44 (0)113 831 5533

LONDON

Third Floor 46 Chancery Lane London WC2A 1JE Tel: +44 (0)207 242 3243

MANCHESTER

76 King Street Manchester M2 4NH Tel: +44 (0)161 817 5038

City Quadrant 11 Waterloo Square Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 4DP Tel: +44 (0)191 232 0943

NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE

TRURO

Baldhu House Wheal Jane Earth Science Park Baldhu Truro TR3 6EH Tel: +44 (0)187 256 0738

International offices:

ALMATY

29/6 Satpaev Avenue Regency Hotel Office Tower Almaty Kazakhstan 050040

Tel: +7(727) 334 1310

MOSCOW

21/5 Kuznetskiy Most St. Moscow Russia Tel: +7(495) 626 07 67

